

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,987	03/31/2000	Yasutoshi Shindo	31581-159316	3235
26694	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMI	INER
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385			CALDWELL, ANDREW T	
	HINGTON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	,
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/540,987	SHINDO, YASUTOSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew Caldwell	2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u> October 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/540,987

Art Unit: 2157

1 Remarks 2 Claims 1-7 are pending, but claims 3-7 are withdrawn from further consideration. 3 Election/Restrictions 4 5 Claims 3-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) 6 as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking 7 claim. Election was made without traverse in the response filed on October 1, 2003 8 (paper no. 4). 9 10 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 11 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 12 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 13 14 15 16 17 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. 18 19 20 Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 21 Drummond et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,598,023. 22 23 Regarding claim 1, Drummond teaches the invention substantially as claimed by 24 disclosing an automatic transaction system including a web server and an automatic 25 transaction apparatus connected to the web server such that said automatic transaction 26 apparatus downloads an application from said web server to make transactions (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/540,987

Art Unit: 2157

j

1 Drummond also teaches that an HTML document or other items (i.e., a java applet) may 2 not be accessible at a server due to a hardware error (col. 25 line 53 to col. 26 line 25). 3 Drummond teaches that when an HTML document or an applet is unable to be 4 downloaded from a remote server, that it is downloaded from an alternative address 5 (col. 26 lines 13-17). Drummond teaches that with respect to an HTML "record" such as 6 an HTML document, an alternative address may be local to the machine/ATM (col. 26 7 lines 40-41). However, Drummond does not explicitly teach that an alternative address 8 for an applet may be local to the machine. Therefore, Drummond does not explicitly 9 teach an application resident in said automatic transaction apparatus for realizing 10 transactions identical to transactions executed by the application downloaded from said 11 web server, wherein said automatic transaction apparatus switches to said resident 12 application to make a transaction when the application cannot be downloaded from said 13 web server. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at 14 the time the invention was made to modify the system of Drummond to have it access a 15 locally stored version of an applet when the normal remote server for that applet is 16 unavailable based on logical reasoning. Drummond says to access a specific type of 17 HTML "record" locally. More specifically, Drummond says to access an HTML document locally (col. 26 lines 40-41). A person of ordinary skill in the art would 18 19 generalize from this specific teaching that any sort of HTTP record, such as an applet, 20 could be accessed locally. Drummond therefore teaches the invention as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,987

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claim 2, Drummond teaches an automatic transaction system wherein said automatic transaction apparatus saves, as a transaction is being processed, information required for the application to execute the transaction, and passes said saved information to the resident application to continue the transaction when the application cannot be downloaded from said web server (col. 13 lines 40-62 transaction data object).

8 Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **three months** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Caldwell, whose telephone number is (703) 306-3036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne, can be reached at (703) 308-7562. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as follows:

Fax Responses: (703) 872-9306

andrew Caldwell

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

> 33 Andrew Caldwell 34 703-306-3036

35 October 31, 2003